

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/890,113	01/07/2002	Ulrich Braun	VOSS1170	5907
75	590 07/21/2006		EXAMINER	
Lisa A Haile			FETSUGA, ROBERT M	
Gray Cary War	e & Freidenrich			
Suite 1600			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
4365 Executive Drive			3751	
San Diego, CA 92121 DATE MAILED		DATE MAILED: 07/21/2006	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<u></u>		<u> </u>
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/890,113	BRAUN, ULRICH	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Robert M. Fetsuga	3751	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the course the application to become ABANDON	ON.  Itimely filed  In the mailing date of this communication  IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on Nove	ember 03, 2005 & June 05, 2006	<u>5</u> .	
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ∑ This	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	ince except for formal matters, p	rosecution as to the merits i	s
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,5,7-15,17,19 and 20 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) 7-10,17,19 and 20 is 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,5 and 11-15 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	s/are withdrawn from considerati	on.	
Application Papers	,		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examina  10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 31 March 2005 is/are:  Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	a) accepted or b) objected or b) objected or b) objected or acceptance. So ction is required if the drawing(s) is consistent or acceptance.	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applica prity documents have been recei au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ved in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summa	ry (PTO-413)	
<ul> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-992)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail		

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on November 03, 2005 has been entered.
- 2. The proposed drawing correction filed on November 03, 2005 is disapproved as containing new matter. Paragraphs 0002 and 0007 do not specify the particular structure added as Figs. 4A-4E, contrary to the argument at pages 10-11 of the response filed November 03, 2005.
- 3. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "partition wall" set forth in claims 1 and 13 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended.

Page 3

Art Unit: 3751

The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

4. The amendment filed November 03, 2005 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132(a) because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132(a) states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: Paragraph 0002 which references Figs. 4A-4E. Furthermore, this amended paragraph does not comply with Rule 121 in that at least reference numerals 202, 203 and 205 are not

found in the prior version thereof, but also are not indicated as being added thereto.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

5. Claims 1, 2, 5 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Claim 1 recites "no partition wall". Claim 13 recites similar subject matter. To the extent applicant would rely upon new Figs. 4A-4E in support of this subject matter, such is therefore considered to be new matter.

Applicant argues at page 13 of the response paragraphs 0001-0004 support this subject matter. The examiner can not agree as applicant has not reconciled the discussion in the noted paragraphs of "hydraulically unconnected" bowls with the disclosed invention which includes "hydraulically connected" outlets 202,203. Applicant argues at page 14 of the response "the specification provides functional characteristics that would enable one of skill in the art to understand what constitutes a partition wall in the context of a toilet." The

examiner can not agree as the claims recites a "device". It is well settled that patentability of a product/apparatus claim is predicated upon structure rather than any functional or intended use feature.

6. Claims 1, 5, 11 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1 and 13 are unclear as to the metes and bounds of the term "partition wall". The claims recite the absence of such a wall, but there appears to be a "partition wall" illustrated in Fig. 2A separating elements 202 and 203.

Claim 11 is unclear as to whether the "toilet" is intended to be part of the claimed combination since structure of the "device" is defined as being connected thereto (lns. 4-5, 9-10), but no positive structural antecedent basis therefor has been defined. Applicant's amendment to the claim further complicates the issue raised. Claim 5 is similarly indefinite.

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

8. Claims 1, 2, 5 and 11-15, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Geeham '850.

The Geeham '850 (Geeham) reference discloses a device comprising: a device for opening 18; a device for closing 52b; "no partition wall" (in the same sense as with applicant's disclosed device) as outlets 28,45 communicate with a common drain 36; and a feature 65, as claimed. Re claim 1, the Geeham opening and closing devices are capable of being used in the functionally recited manner. Re claim 5, the Geeham device further comprises a toilet bowl 12 including protrusions (Fig. 1, 26 and at 34). Re claim 11, the Geeham toilet bowl further includes a urine outlet 45 "in front of" a faecal outlet 28.

Applicant argues at page 16 of the response the Geeham toilet bowl does not have protrusions. The examiner can not agree as bowl 12 in Geeham includes several protrusions for guiding urine. One such protrusion is illustrated in Fig. 1 between the arrow for reference numeral 24 and reference numeral 34. Applicant argues at pages 16-17 of the response the Geeham toilet is not capable of being used in the functional manner recited in claims 1 and 11. The examiner can not agree. Initially, it is noted applicant's claims appear to recite a

"device" rather than a toilet or methods as argued. In any event, the opening device 18 in Geeham would function whether a user either sits on the toilet seat (12) or not. Furthermore, the closing device 52b in Geeham would function whether a user either rises or does not. Moreover, the opening and closing devices would function whether there was water in the toilet bowl 12 or not. Lastly, it is noted solids may not remain anywhere in the Geeham toilet bowl (since solids are not always placed therein) which would render the draining with flushing water features of claims 1 and 11 inconsequential.

9. Claims 1, 2, 5 and 11-15, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wilhelm.

The Wilhelm reference discloses a device comprising: a device for opening 43; a device for closing 41; "no partition wall" (in the same sense as with applicant's disclosed device) as outlets 17,22 communicate with a common drain 19; and a feature (col. 2 lns. 62-65), as claimed. Re claim 1, the Wilhelm opening and closing devices are capable of being used in the functionally recited manner. Re claim 5, the Wilhelm device further comprises a toilet bowl 16 including protrusions (Fig. 1, at 16 and at 22). Re claim 11, the Wilhelm toilet bowl further includes a urine outlet 22 "in front of" a faecal outlet 17.

Application/Control Number: 09/890,113 Page 8

Art Unit: 3751

Applicant's arguments at pages 17-19 of the response regarding the functional language of the claims are deemed unpersuasive for reasons analogous to those set forth supra regarding Geeham.

- 10. Applicant is referred to MPEP 714.02 and 608.01(o) in responding to this Office action.
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Robert M. Fetsuga at telephone number 571/272-4886 who can be most easily reached Monday through Thursday. The Office central fax number is 571/273-8300.

Robert M. Fetsuga Primary Examiner Art Unit 3751